

Existence of families of Galois representations and new cases of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture

Luis V. Dieulefait
Centre de Recerca Matemàtica
Bellaterra, Barcelona *

February 1, 2008

Abstract

In a previous article, we have proved a result asserting the existence of a compatible family of Galois representations containing a given crystalline irreducible odd two-dimensional representation. We apply this result to establish new cases of the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, namely, an irreducible Barsotti-Tate λ -adic 2-dimensional Galois representation unramified at 3 and such that the traces a_p of the images of Frobenii verify $\mathbb{Q}(\{a_p^2\}) = \mathbb{Q}$ always comes from an abelian variety. We also show the non-existence of irreducible Barsotti-Tate 2-dimensional Galois representations of conductor 1 and apply this to the irreducibility of Galois representations on level 1 genus 2 Siegel cusp forms.

1 Existence of families

The following is a slight generalization of a result proved in [D3], which follows from the results and techniques in [T1], [T2]:

*e-mail: LDieulefait@crm.es. Supported by MECD postdoctoral fellowship at the Centre de Recerca Matemàtica from the Ministerio de Educación y Cultura

Theorem 1.1 *Let q be an odd prime, and Q a place above q . Let σ_Q be a two dimensional odd irreducible Q -adic Galois representation (of the absolute Galois group of $\mathbb{Q}$, continuous) ramified only at q and at a finite set of primes S . Assume that σ_Q is crystalline at q , with Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, w\}$ (w odd). Assume also that $q \geq 2w + 1$. Then, there exists a compatible family of Galois representations $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ containing σ_Q , such that for every $\ell \notin S$, $\lambda \mid \ell$, the representation σ_λ is unramified outside $\{\ell\} \cup S$ and is crystalline at ℓ with Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, w\}$. Moreover, the family $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ is strictly compatible (see [T1] for the definition) and all its members are irreducible.*

Remark: the proof given in [D3] applies in this generality, just observe that for the case of $w = 1$, in general when Taylor proves that the restriction of σ_Q to some totally real field F will correspond to a representation attached to a Hilbert modular form h , this is not enough to conclude that the representation is motivic (the construction of Blasius-Rogawski does not apply in some cases), thus is not enough in general to prove the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture, but in any case there is a strictly compatible family of Galois representation attached to h (by previous results of Taylor) and so the argument in [D3] (descent of this family to a compatible family of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -representations) can be applied also in this case. The family obtained will be strictly compatible, as follows from the results in [T1]. All representations in the family are irreducible because when restricted to the Galois group of F they agree with the modular Galois representations attached to h , which are irreducible (because h is cuspidal).

2 Fontaine-Mazur for “projectively rational” Barsotti-Tate representations

Now suppose that we are given a representation σ_Q as in theorem 1.1 with $w = 1$ and $\det(\sigma_Q) = \chi$. Then, we will prove the following:

Theorem 2.1 *Assume that σ_Q verifies also the following two conditions:*

- 1) *If $q \neq 3$, then $3 \notin S$ (σ_Q unramified at 3).*
- 2) *The traces $\{a_p\}$ of the images of Frobenii, for every $p \neq q, p \notin S$ verify: $a_p^2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Then σ_Q can be attached to an abelian variety A (of course, A is of GL_2 -type).

Remark: In particular, in case all the traces are integers, this result shows that a Galois representation that “looks like” the one attached to an elliptic curve with good reduction at 3 does indeed come from such an elliptic curve.

Remark: A similar result is proved in [T1] without restriction on the traces but (sticking to the case $w = 1$) with the extra assumption that there is a prime $u \in S$ such that the restriction of σ_Q to the decomposition group D_u is of a particular type (corresponding to discrete series under the local Langlands correspondence). Thus, this result does not apply, for example, if σ_Q has conductor 1 or is semistable (i.e., unipotent) locally at every prime of S . Therefore, in the semistable case, the results of [T1] are not enough to prove the Fontaine-Mazur conjecture for σ_Q .

Proof: The proof follows from the combination of theorem 1.1 with modularity results à la Wiles. We know that there exists a strictly compatible family $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ containing σ_Q . Take $t \mid 3$ and consider the Galois representation σ_t (if $q = 3$, just take $t = Q$). As $3 \notin S$, we know that σ_t is crystalline at t , and has Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, 1\}$. Following the initial idea of Wiles (see also [D1]) we know, from condition 2) in the theorem (via results of Langlands and Tunnell), that the residual representation $\bar{\sigma}_t$ will be either modular or reducible. The information we have on σ_t is enough then to conclude, via a combination of modularity results à la Taylor-Wiles and Skinner-Wiles, that σ_t is modular. This is a non-trivial assertion, but this is done in [D1] in exactly the same situation! So we conclude that the family $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ is modular, and from $w = 1$ we easily check that it will be attached to a weight 2 cusp form f . This proves that σ_Q can be attached to the abelian variety A_f .

Remark: It follows from condition 2) in the theorem that the variety A_f will have a large endomorphism algebra (cf. [R]).

3 Non-existence of Barsotti-Tate representations of conductor 1

In this section we will prove the following result:

Theorem 3.1 *There are no irreducible Barsotti-Tate 2-dimensional Galois representations of conductor 1 and odd residual characteristic.*

Remark: By Barsotti-Tate we mean crystalline Galois representations as σ_Q in theorem 1.1 with $w = 1$.

Proof: As in the previous section, q is odd and σ_Q has $w = 1$. Now there is no restriction in the field of coefficients, but S is empty. If $q \neq 3$, once again we use the results in section 1 to construct a strictly compatible family $\{\sigma_\lambda\}$ containing σ_Q . We consider again σ_t for $t \mid 3$ (just take $t = Q$ if $q = 3$). From strict compatibility, this t -adic Galois representation will be unramified outside 3. But such a representation can not exist, as was proved in [D2]. Let us briefly recall the argument for the convenience of the reader: the residual representation $\bar{\sigma}_t$ has coefficient in a finite field of characteristic 3 and is unramified outside 3. Then, a result of Serre tells us that it must be reducible. An application of results of Skinner-Wiles (that can be applied because σ_t is Barsotti-Tate) shows that σ_t is modular, but this is a contradiction because it must correspond to a level 1, weight 2, cuspidal modular form.

Corollary 3.2 *Let f be a genus 2, level 1, cuspidal Siegel modular form (Hecke eigenform) having multiplicity one and weight $k > 3$. Suppose that f is not a Maass spezialform. Then, for every odd prime ℓ , $\lambda \mid \ell$ in $E =$ field generated by the eigenvalues of f , the Galois representation $\rho_{f,\lambda}$ attached to f is absolutely irreducible. In particular, this representation can be defined over E_λ .*

Proof: In [D2] it is shown that the only possible reducible case is: $\rho_{f,\lambda} \cong \sigma_{1,\lambda} \oplus \sigma_{2,\lambda}$ where one of the two (necessarily irreducible) components, say $\sigma_{2,\lambda}$, is crystalline, with Hodge-Tate weights $\{k-2, k-1\}$, and has conductor 1. But theorem 3.1 says that such a representation (after twisting by χ^{2-k}) can not exist. This proves the corollary.

Remark: This improves the main result of [D3], for the level 1 case: “uniformity of reducibility” now does not make sense, because all representations will be irreducible.

In the semistable case, the main theorem of [D3] can be extended, just by applying theorem 1.1, to be valid for every prime:

Proposition 3.3 *Let $\{\rho_\lambda\}$ be a compatible family of 4-dimensional, pure, symplectic, Galois representations, with finite ramification set S , semistable (at every place in S) in the sense of [D3] and such that for every $\ell \notin S$, $\lambda \mid \ell$, ρ_λ is crystalline with Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, k-2, k-1, 2k-3\}$. Then, if for some $q > 2$, $q \notin S$, $Q \mid q$, the representation ρ_Q is reducible, all the representations in the family are reducible.*

Proof: It is known (cf. [D2], [D3]) that the only possible reducible case is: $\rho_Q \cong \sigma_{1,Q} \oplus \sigma_{2,Q}$, where the two components are irreducible odd two-dimensional Galois representations of the same determinant, one of them having Hodge-Tate weights $\{0, 2k-3\}$, the other having weights $\{k-2, k-1\}$. If $q \geq 4k-5$, an application of theorem 1.1 to both components (for one of them, you may twist by a power of χ before applying the theorem, and then untwist to obtain the desired family) proves the result, because from compatibility and Chebotarev density theorem it is clear that the families $\{\sigma_{1,\lambda}\}$ and $\{\sigma_{2,\lambda}\}$ verify: $\rho_\lambda \cong \sigma_{1,\lambda} \oplus \sigma_{2,\lambda}$ (up to semisimplification) for every λ . If $2 < q < 4k-5$, then we can only apply (with the twist and untwist trick) theorem 1.1 to one of the components, say $\sigma_{2,Q} \in \{\sigma_{2,\lambda}\}$. The techniques of [D3] apply precisely in this situation: it is enough (because we are assuming semistability) to have the “existence of a family” result for one component, to conclude reducibility of $\{\rho_\lambda\}$ for almost every prime. But this implies that we can take now a second prime r as large as we want ($r \geq 4k-5$, $r \notin S$), and $R \mid r$ such that ρ_R is reducible: $\rho_R \cong \sigma_{1,R} \oplus \sigma_{2,R}$, and now because r is sufficiently large we can apply theorem 1.1 also to the other component, and conclude as before that the whole family $\{\rho_\lambda\}$ is reducible.

Remark: When we assume that ρ_Q is reducible, reducibility must necessarily “occur over the field of coefficients” (the field generated by the traces of the images of Frobenii), i.e., the field of coefficients of ρ_Q must contain the fields of coefficients of its irreducible components (this is required in the proof above, because we have used the results of [D3]). That reducibility always occurs over the field of coefficients was proved in [D2] for $q \geq 4k-5$ but the proof holds for every odd prime q : if we assume that this is not the case, following the arguments in [D2], we conclude that the field of coefficients of $\sigma_{2,Q}$ is an infinite extension of that of ρ_Q (to orient the reader, let us point out that this result strongly depends on the fact that ρ_Q has four different Hodge-Tate weights, and that when reducibility is not over the fields of coefficients this forces the images of the representations $\{\rho_\lambda\}$ to be

“generically large”, cf. [D2], [D3])), but this contradicts the fact that $\sigma_{2,Q}$ (after twisting) is potentially modular (thus, the field of coefficients of $\rho_Q|_{G_F}$ is a number field for some totally real number field F , and a fortiori the field of coefficients of ρ_Q is also a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$).

4 Bibliography

[D1] Dieulefait, L., *Modularity of Abelian Surfaces with Quaternionic Multiplication*, Math. Res. Letters **10** no. 2-3 (2003)

[D2] Dieulefait, L., *Irreducibility of Galois actions on level 1 Siegel cusp forms*, preprint, (2002)

available at <http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/gtem/view.php> (preprint 19)

[D3] Dieulefait, L., *Uniform behavior of families of Galois representations on Siegel modular forms*, preprint, (2002)

available at <http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/gtem/view.php> (preprint 68)

[R] Ribet, K., *Abelian varieties over $\mathbb{Q}$ and modular forms*, Algebra and Topology 1992, KAIST Math. Workshop, Taejon, Korea (1992) 53-79

[T1] Taylor, R., *On the meromorphic continuation of degree two L -functions*, preprint, (2001); available at <http://abel.math.harvard.edu/~rtaylor/>

[T2] Taylor, R., *Galois Representations*, proceedings of ICM, Beijing, August 2002, longer version available at <http://abel.math.harvard.edu/~rtaylor/>